



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,422	09/16/2003	Hiroshi Sumihiro	7217/70905	2711	
7590 04/03/2006			EXAMINER		
JAY H. MAIOLI			STIGLIC, RYAN M		
Cooper Dunham	n LLP				
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10036			. 2112		
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,422	SUMIHIRO, HIROSHI	
i	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ryan M. Stiglic	2112	

Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ryan M. Stiglic	2112					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 08 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		) and the engraprists out	oncion foo havo				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com							
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.				
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set it	7th in 37 CFR 41.37(	a).				
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause				
(a) $\boxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further co			<b>2</b> 004450				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo							
appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.	•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13. 🔲 Other:		Mis					
		JOHN A. CO	TINGHAM				

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments filed after the close of prosecution present new limitations that require further search and consideration. Furthermore, new claims 6-10 have not previously been presented and require a new search and consideration.